REMARKS

Claims 1-28 are pending. Claims 1-28 stand rejected. Claim 1 was cancelled. Claims 2-9, 13, and 16-28 have been amended. Claims 1-28 remain in the application.

Claim 27 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The rejection stated:

'Claim 27, line 1: "A computer program product" ought to be amended to "A computer program product stored on a computer readable medium".

This has been done.

Claims 1, 2, 6-14, 16, and 26-28 stood rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,649,032). Claim 15 stood rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burt et al. in view of Seitz et al. (View Morphing, Proceedings of the 23rd annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, ACM Press, 1996, pp. 21-30) Claims 17-18 and 22-24 stood rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burt et al. in view of Yoshida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,266,128). Claims 19-21 and 25 stood rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burt et al. in view of Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,094,218).

The Examiner indicated in the Advisory Action that, when an antecedent basis issue was corrected, Claims 3-5 "may overcome Burt et al." and require a new search and consideration. Claim 1 was cancelled. Claim 3 was rewritten to include features of Claims 3-5. Claims 4-5 were amended as in the unentered amendment discussed in the Advisory Action. Claims 6-25 and 27 were amended to depend from Claim 3. Claim 26 was amended to include features of amended Claim 3. Claims 28 has features like the amended Claim 3 of the unentered amendment that was discussed in the Advisory Action.

It is believed that these changes now make the claims clear and definite and, if there are any problems with these changes, Applicants' attorney would appreciate a telephone call.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed none of the references, taken singly or in combination, disclose the claimed invention. Accordingly, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance, the notice of which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 30,700

Robert Luke Walker/amb Rochester, NY 14650

Telephone: (585) 588-2739 Facsimile: (585) 477-1148

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.